

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ·	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/688,992	10/21/2003	Yoshiyuki Abe	501.43182X00	6676		
20457	7590 02/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			SCHILLINGE	SCHILLINGER, LAURA M		
1300 NOR 11 SUITE 1800	H SEVENTEENTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889	,	2813			
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/688,992	ABE, YOSHIYUKI					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Laura M. Schillinger	2813					
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time marafter SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply single of the second for reply in the second for reply within the second for reply within the second for the second for reply received by the second for the s	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>09 January 2004</i> .							
2a) This action	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claim	S							
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specific	ation is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	t drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119							
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)	c Cited (PTO 892)	A) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers 	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
	re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, claims 1-13, pertaining to a method including grinding a second face of a wafer and thereafter affixing a die bonding film and affixing a dicing tape to a second face of a wafer in sequential order;

Species 2, claims 14-17, pertaining to a method including affixing a laminate of a die bonding film and a separator film to the back side of a wafer so that he die boding film faces inside and subsequently, peeling off the separator film without grinding affixing a laminate of a die bonding film and a separator film to the back side of a wafer so that he die boding film faces inside and subsequently, peeling off the separator film.

Species 3, claims 18-20, pertaining to a method including after grinding.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,992

Art Unit: 2813

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,992

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

LMS

02/22/05